

# Taxes and Technological Determinants of Wage Inequalities: France 1976-2010

#### Antoine Bozio<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Breda<sup>1</sup> and Malka Guillot<sup>12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Paris School of Economics (PSE) and Institut des politiques publiques (IPP)

<sup>2</sup>Centre de Recherche en Économie et Statistiques (CREST)

EEA, August 2016

**Motivation** 



Increase in wage inequalities in developped countries

Figure 1: International comparisons of P90/P10 log gross wage ratio: 1975-2011.



## Motivation with the exception of France

ipp Institut des Politiques Publiques

Figure 2: International comparisons of P90/P10 log gross wage ratio: France included.





▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

- Demand-side explanations
  - Skill-biased technological change (SBTC)
    - Katz and Murphy (1992): supply/demand model
    - Card and Lemieux (2001): experience groups nested within skill groups



#### • Demand-side explanations

- Skill-biased technological change (SBTC)
  - Katz and Murphy (1992): supply/demand model
  - Card and Lemieux (2001): experience groups nested within skill groups
- Globalization
  - Feenstra and Hanson (2002); Autor, Dorn and Hanson (2013)



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

#### • Demand-side explanations

- Skill-biased technological change (SBTC)
  - Katz and Murphy (1992): supply/demand model
  - Card and Lemieux (2001): experience groups nested within skill groups
- Globalization
  - Feenstra and Hanson (2002); Autor, Dorn and Hanson (2013)
- Job polarization
  - Autor, Levy, Murnane (2003), Autor, Katz and Kearny (**AKK** 2006)
  - Goos and Manning (2007), Autor (2015)



#### • Demand-side explanations

- Skill-biased technological change (SBTC)
  - Katz and Murphy (1992): supply/demand model
  - Card and Lemieux (2001): experience groups nested within skill groups
- Globalization
  - Feenstra and Hanson (2002); Autor, Dorn and Hanson (2013)
- Job polarization
  - Autor, Levy, Murnane (2003), Autor, Katz and Kearny (AKK 2006)
  - Goos and Manning (2007), Autor (2015)

### Institutional factors

- Minimum wage: Lee (1999), Card and Lemieux (2001)
- Unions: Fortin and Lemieux (1997)
- Education policies



### French case challenges the usual consensus

- Emerging consensus
  - Strong support for a demand shift towards skilled workers
    - in many countries, notably in the U.S. (AKK, 2006; Autor, 2015), the U.K. (Lindley and Machin, 2011) and Germany (Dustmann et al. 2009).
  - Limited impact of U.S. minimum wage or unions (AKK, 2006; Autor, Manning and Smith, 2016)



### French case challenges the usual consensus

#### • Emerging consensus

- Strong support for a demand shift towards skilled workers
  - in many countries, notably in the U.S. (AKK, 2006; Autor, 2015), the U.K. (Lindley and Machin, 2011) and Germany (Dustmann et al. 2009).
- Limited impact of U.S. minimum wage or unions (AKK, 2006; Autor, Manning and Smith, 2016)

#### • French case is puzzling

- Wage compression and limited evidence of demand shifts (Card et al. 1999, Goux and Maurin 2000, Koubi et al. 2005, Verdugo 2014)
- Some evidence by Charnoz et al. 2014
- Even though exposed to SBTC and trade competition
- High minimum wage may play a role but cannot explain the reduction in upper-tail inequalities

## This paper



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─ 臣 ─ のへで

- Compute labour cost, posted wages, and net wages measures of inequalities
  - Labour cost inequalities increased in France by about 20% between 1976 and 2015

## This paper



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

- Compute labour cost, posted wages, and net wages measures of inequalities
  - Labour cost inequalities increased in France by about 20% between 1976 and 2015
- 2 Revisit demand-side explanations using labour cost instead of gross wages
  - That's how it needs to be done
  - Would not change the picture in the U.S.

## This paper



- Compute labour cost, posted wages, and net wages measures of inequalities
  - Labour cost inequalities increased in France by about 20% between 1976 and 2015
- 2 Revisit demand-side explanations using labour cost instead of gross wages
  - That's how it needs to be done
  - Would not change the picture in the U.S.
- **3** Discuss the impact of tax/SSCs on inequalities
  - Seem to have been neglected in the demand shifts vs institution debate
  - Might be an institutional tool counteracting SBTC
  - Depends on the incidence of employer SSCs

## Outline



▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

#### 1 Data

- **2** SSC changes, labour cost/gross/net wage inequalities
- 3 Can taxation reduce net wage inequalities ?
- Preliminary conclusion

### I-Data



▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

- Déclarations Annuelles de Données Sociales (DADS), 1976-2010.
  - Administrative data based on social security records
  - Sample : 1/24 before 1993, 1/12 after 1993
  - Wage variable: annual net earnings
- EDP (1968, 1975, 1982, 1990, 1999 and 2004 to 2010)
  - National censuses
  - Sample : 4/365
  - Educational attainment, demographic information

## I-Wage concepts



- Net wage = Posted wage employee SSCs
  - Directly observed in DADS data (annual earnings).
- Gross wage = Posted wage = net wage + employee SSCs
  - Computed using the tax simulator of IPP, **TAXIPP**.
- Labour cost: total cost of the employee for the firm,
  - = gross wage + employer SSCs
    - Computed using the tax simulator of IPP, **TAXIPP**.
- Net-of-tax wage: net wage individual income tax share
  - Computed using Enquête Revenus Fiscaux et Sociaux

## I-SSC changes over time



Figure 3: Total Social security contributions as a fraction of labour costs in the different deciles



Sources: DADS data 1976-2010. The figure provides the ratio of the average total social security contributions (employer and employee part) to the average labour cost in each decile of the labour cost distribution.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへ⊙

## I-SSC changes over time



Figure 4: Total Social security contributions as a fraction of labour costs in the different deciles



*Sources*: DADS data 1976-2010. The figure provides the ratio of the average total social security contributions (employer and employee part) to the average labour cost in each decile of the labour cost distribution.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

## I-SSC changes over time



Figure 5: Total Social security contributions as a fraction of labour costs in the different deciles



*Sources*: DADS data 1976-2010. The figure provides the ratio of the average total social security contributions (employer and employee part) to the average labour cost in each decile of the labour cost distribution.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへ⊙

## I-Wage inequalities: 3 measures



Figure 6: P90-P10 ratio, full-time full-year male workers, 1976-2010



Sources: DADS data 1976-2010. The figure depicts the P90-P10 log wage gaps for net, gross and labour cost wages of male workers of the private sector working full-time full-year.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへ⊙

## I-Wage inequalities: 3 measures



Figure 7: P90-P10 ratio, full-time full-year male workers, 1976-2010



Sources: DADS data 1976-2010. The figure depicts the P90-P10 log wage gaps for net, gross and labour cost wages of male workers of the private sector working full-time full-year.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

### I-Wage inequalities: 2 more measures



Figure 8: P90-P10 ratio, full-time full-year male workers, 1976-2010



*Note:* The two additional series are in terms of net-of-tax wage and of net wage plus contributive employer and employe SSC.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

- 34

## I-Upper-tail wage inequalities



Figure 9: P90-P50 ratio, full-time full-year male workers, 1976-2010



SOURCE: DADS data 1976-2010.

▲ロト ▲□ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三目 - のへの

## I-Lower-tail wage inequalities



Figure 10: P50-P10 ratio, full-time full-year male workers, 1976-2010



SOURCE: DADS data 1976-2010.

▶ Part III

(日)、



Figure 11: Supply and demand framework





Figure 12: Supply and demand framework





Figure 13: Supply and demand framework





Figure 14: Supply and demand framework



## II-Revisiting SBTC A simple Supply and Demand model



 $\underline{\text{Aim}}$ : explaining relative wage as a function of relative supply and relative factor demand shifts

CES production function of output  ${\sf Q}$  with two factors:

- College equivalent workers: c
- High school equivalent workers: h

$$Q_t = [lpha_t (a_t D_{ct})^
ho + (1-lpha_t) (b_t D_{ht})^
ho]^{1/
ho}$$

Where:

- $D_{ct}$   $(D_{ht})$  is the quantities used of type c (h) at t
- *α<sub>t</sub>*: time-varying technology parameter
- $a_t$  and  $b_t$ : technical change parameters

## II-Labour cost wage equation



Under the hypothesis that workers are paid at their marginal product:

$$ln\left(\frac{w_{ct}}{w_{ht}}\right) = \underbrace{ln\left(\frac{\alpha_t}{1-\alpha_t}\right) + \rho ln\left(\frac{a_t}{b_t}\right)}_{\frac{1}{\sigma}Shift_t} - \frac{1}{\sigma}ln\left(\frac{D_{ct}}{D_{ht}}\right)$$

Where:

- $W_{ct}$  ( $W_{ht}$ ) = labour cost of college (high school) equivalent workers
- $\sigma = \frac{1}{1-\rho}$  : aggregate elasticity of substitution between college and highschool equivalent
- *D<sub>t</sub>*: relative demand shifts favouring college equivalents
  - Usual practice: capture the unobserved demand shift with a time trend

## II-Labour cost wage estimation



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

$$\ln\left(\frac{w_{ct}}{w_{ht}}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \beta_2 \ln\left(\frac{S_{ct}}{S_{ht}}\right) + \epsilon_t$$

Assumptions:

- 1 Market clearing  $\Rightarrow S_{it} = D_{it}, i = c, h$
- 2 Exogenous supply  $\Rightarrow$  **net wages** do not matter
- 3 Demand shift approximated by a time trend

▶ With taxes

## II-From theory to empirics (1)



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

#### Education groups:

- No diploma, elementary school, junior high school, vocational basic
- 2 High school graduates (general and vocational advanced)
- Some college
- 4 University graduates

## II-From theory to empirics (1)



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

#### Education groups:

- No diploma, elementary school, junior high school, vocational basic
- 2 High school graduates (general and vocational advanced)
- 3 Some college
- 4 University graduates

#### Construction of relative supply series:

- Unskilled equivalents:  $1 + 2 + 0.5 \times 3$
- Skilled equivalents:  $\bullet + 0.5 \times \bullet$

## II-From theory to empirics (1)



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

#### Education groups:

- No diploma, elementary school, junior high school, vocational basic
- 2 High school graduates (general and vocational advanced)
- 3 Some college
- 4 University graduates

#### Construction of relative supply series:

- Unskilled equivalents:  $\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{0.5} \times \mathbf{3}$
- Skilled equivalents:  $\bullet + 0.5 \times \bullet$

#### Construction of relative wage series:

- Unskilled workers: <a></a>
- Skilled workers:

## II-From theory to empirics (2) Data restrictions



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

- Supply of skilled and unskilled workers:
  - Employed men
  - Aged 26 to 65
  - 0 to 39 years of potential experience
  - Adjusted for changes in group quality (experience)

# II-From theory to empirics (2) Data restrictions



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

- Supply of skilled and unskilled workers:
  - Employed men
  - Aged 26 to 65
  - 0 to 39 years of potential experience
  - Adjusted for changes in group quality (experience)
- Wages of skilled and unskilled workers:
  - Employed men
  - Aged 26 to 65
  - 0 to 39 years of potential experience
  - Private sector
  - Full-time and full-year workers
  - Adjusted for changes in group composition (experience)

### II-A steady increase in relative supply



Figure 15: Relative labour supply and net wage premium: 1976 - 2008



ヨー つへの

# II-A small increase in relative labour cost ipp Institut des Politiques Publiques

Figure 16: Relative labour supply and labour cost wage premium: 1976 - 2008



Fitting the canonical model in France?



= nan

Table 1: Estimated effect of the relative supply and the time trend on the School log labour cost gap

Year	Relative	Corresponding Elasticity	Time trend
removed	supply	of substitution	(divided by 100)
None	0.06	-16.7	0.03
	(0.12)		(0.41)
1976	0.05	-20.0	0.05
	(0.13)		(0.42
1983	0.06	-16.7	0.03
	(0,12)		(0.41)
1989	0.11	-9.1	-0.15
	(0.13)		(0.42)
1995	0.07	-14.3	-0.01
	(0.13)		(0.41)
2001	0.04	-25.0	0.09
	(0.13)		(0.42)
2010	-0.06	16.7	0.45
	(0.13)		(0.43)

Notes: Standard errors in parentheses. All variables are in 2010 euros. Each row show estimates when the year indicated in the first column has been removed from the sample.  $\Box \rightarrow \Box = \Box = \Box = \Box = \Box$ 

## Regression models U.S. versus France



#### Table 2: College/High School log wage gap

	Estimates for the U.S.			Estimates for France			
	from AKK 1965-2005			Log Labour cost			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	 (4)	(5)	(6)	
Relative supply	-0.411	-0.599	-0.403	 -0.411	-0.599	-0.403	
(CLG vs HS)	(0.046)	(0.112)	(0.067)	calib.	calib.	calib.	
Log real min. wage			0.117			0.114	
			(0.047)			(0.107)	
Unemp. Rate			0.001			-0.002	
(males)			(0.004)			(0.197)	
Time	0.018	0.028	0.017	0.017	0.028	0.017	
	(0.001)	(0.006)	(0.002)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	
Time2/100	. ,	-0.011	. ,	. ,	-0.014	. ,	
		(0.006)			(0.004)		
Constant	0.043	0.143	0.266	-0.587	-1.015	-1.66	
	(0.037)	(0.108)	(0.112)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.018)	
Observations	43	43	43	31	31	31	
R2	0.934	0.940	0.944	0.987	0.993	0.987	

900

3

## Regression models France net versus labour cost



#### Table 3: College/High School log wage gap

	Labour cost gap in France			Net wage gap in France			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	 (4)	(5)	(6)	
Relative supply	-0.411	-0.599	-0.403	 -0.411	-0.599	-0.403	
(CLG vs HS)	calib.	calib.	calib.	calib.	calib.	calib.	
Log real min. wage			0.114			0.319	
			(0.107)			(0.063)	
Unemp. Rate			-0.002			-0.002	
(males)			-0.197			(0.114)	
Time	0.017	0.028	0.017	0.014	0.025	0.014	
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	
Time2/100		-0.000			-0.012		
		(0.004)			(0.003)		
Constant	-0.587	-1.015	-1.66	-0.534	-0.960	-1.747	
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.018)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	
Observations	31	31	31	31	31	31	
R2	0.987	0.993	0.987	0.987	0.993	0.988	

Notes: Standard errors in parentheses. All variables are in 2010 euros. Minimum wage is net terms in columns (4) to (6) and in labour cost in columns (1) to (3).

## II-Minimum wage and inequalities



# Figure 17: Ratio of minimum to median gross wage, OECD countries, 1975-2013



SOURCE: OECD.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ □豆 - のへで

## II-Minimum wage and inequalities



Figure 18: Ratio of minimum to median wage, France: net versus labour cost



SOURCE: DADS data 1976-2010.

▲ロト ▲撮 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ○ 臣 ○ の Q ()



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

### • Depends on incidence of SSCs

- SSCs reforms may have reduced net wage inequalities if long-run incidence falls on employees
- What are counterfactual wage inequalities in the absence of SSC changes?



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

### • Depends on incidence of SSCs

- SSCs reforms may have reduced net wage inequalities if long-run incidence falls on employees
- What are counterfactual wage inequalities in the absence of SSC changes?

#### • Two polar cases

- Assume no behavioural responses
- Assume either full incidence on employees, or full incidence on employers



Figure 19: Wage inequalities in the absence of tax changes: two polar cases



SOURCE: DADS data 1976-2010. The figure offers two scenarios of incidence, on workers or on employers, absent any behavioral responses, for male workers of the private sector working full-time full-year.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

### • SBTC as evidence of incidence?

- SBTC should have hit all developed countries
- Even in France, we use computers
- Then, it suggests that SSCs have been incident on employees in the long-run



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

### • SBTC as evidence of incidence?

- SBTC should have hit all developed countries
- Even in France, we use computers
- Then, it suggests that SSCs have been incident on employees in the long-run

### • But high minimum wage in France?

- Can play a role in the bottom half of the wage distribution
- But cannot explain upper half decrease in net wage inequalities



Figure 20: P90-P50 ratio, full-time male workers, 1976-2010



SOURCE: DADS data 1976-2010.

## **III-Behavioral responses**



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

- Taxes could generate inefficiencies...
  - lower incentive to accumulate skills (if incidence on workers)
  - specialisation in lower-skill technology, less innovation (if incidence on firms)

## **III-Behavioral responses**



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

- Taxes could generate inefficiencies...
  - lower incentive to accumulate skills (if incidence on workers)
  - specialisation in lower-skill technology, less innovation (if incidence on firms)
- ... which are hard to detect in the data
  - no breaks in the accumulation of skills that could be linked to tax changes
  - 2 increase rather than decrease in the demand for skilled workers
    - but hard to distinguish SBTC demand shifts from tax-driven demand shifts

### Conclusions



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

#### • Labour cost inequalities in France

- Using labour cost changes the assessment on French data
- France is no exception after all
- Reinforces demand-side explanations for increased wage inequalities
- Perspective might change for other countries too

### Conclusions



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

#### • Labour cost inequalities in France

- Using labour cost changes the assessment on French data
- France is no exception after all
- Reinforces demand-side explanations for increased wage inequalities
- Perspective might change for other countries too

#### • Incidence of SSCs

• SBTC provides macro-level evidence for long-run incidence of SSCs on employees





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

• Integrate taxation in supply/demand framework





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Integrate taxation in supply/demand framework
- Other countries ?
  - Similar patterns ?





- Integrate taxation in supply/demand framework
- Other countries ?
  - Similar patterns ?
  - Compare supply of skills, net wages and labor costs across countries.
  - Compare French policies (high MW/SSCs reductions) with tax credit policies and lower MW countries (e.g. EITC in the U.S., WFTC in the U.K.)
  - Political economy aspect of doing redistribution with SSCs.



# Taxes and Technological Determinants of Wage Inequalities: France 1976-2010

#### Antoine Bozio<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Breda<sup>1</sup> and Malka Guillot<sup>12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Paris School of Economics (PSE) and Institut des politiques publiques (IPP)

<sup>2</sup>Centre de Recherche en Économie et Statistiques (CREST)

EEA, August 2016

## Including unemployed, paid at MW



#### Figure 21: P90-P10 ratio, full-time male workers, 1976-2010



SOURCE: DADS data 1976-2010.

